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Abstract We present description, validation, utilization, and update of the NIES/FRCGC (National Institute for Environmental Studies/Frontier Research Center for Global Change) off-line global atmospheric tracer transport model. The model transport is driven by analyzed meteorological fields and designed to simulate seasonal and diurnal cycles, synoptic variations, and spatial distributions of atmospheric chemical constituents in the troposphere. Tracer transport is simulated with semi-Lagrangian transport algorithm. The vertical mixing by the boundary layer turbulence and penetrative convection are parameterized. We have tested the model performance against observations of radon-222 and SF6. The long-lived tracer transport properties are also compared to the other models and observations using the simulation of atmospheric-CO2. Our results suggest that the model can produce realistic interhemispheric exchange rate and vertical tracer distributions in lower and mid troposphere.

A new version (NIES05) of the transport model has been developed for simulating diurnally varying CO2 concentrations at much finer horizontal resolution (0.25\degree \times 0.25\degree \times 47 level). The high resolution model results show large improvements in match with the observations at a continental site Tsukuba (~50km north-west of Tokyo). The NIES/FRCGC model is adopted to run on Earth Simulator for the purpose of source/sinks inversion of atmospheric-CO2. We used interannually varying meteorology for the forward simulations of known CO2 fluxes and normalized emissions from 64 divisions of the globe for which CO2 fluxes are determined by inverse modeling of atmospheric-CO2. We have discussed the long-term trends and interannual variability in global and regional CO2 fluxes. The results suggest weak increases and reduction in total land/ocean and southern ocean sinks, respectively, for the period of 1982-2004. The estimated land flux variabilities have been explained by accounting for ecosystem response to inter-annual climate variability and forest fires.
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1. Introduction

Atmospheric transport has to be accounted for when analyzing the relationships between observations of atmospheric constituents and their sources/sinks near the earth’s surface or through the chemical transformation in the atmosphere. The tracer transport modeling is done on different scales from local plume spread, regional mesoscale transport to global scale analysis, depending on scales of the phenomena. The global atmospheric tracer transport models are usually applied to studies of the global cycles of the long-lived atmospheric trace gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane (CH4), because the long-lived tracers exhibit observable global patterns (e.g. interhemispheric gradient of the concentration). Global modeling analysis has helped to identify the relative contribution of the land and oceans in Northern and Southern hemisphere to the interhemispheric concentration differences for CO2, CH4, carbon monoxide and other tracer
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species (e.g. Bolin and Keeling, 1963; Hein et al., 1997). For the case of the stable and slowly reacting chemical species, a number of studies have derived information on the spatial and temporal distribution of the surface sources and sinks by applying transport model and atmospheric observations (e.g., Tans et al., 1990; Rayner et al., 1999).

In this paper we present the development of a global atmospheric tracer transport model, its application for retrieving CO₂ flux variability by time-dependent inversion (TDI), and finally recent updates for high resolution simulations using improved meteorology. Our main objective is to model the sub-grid scale physical process parameterizations tuned to recent observations, and at the same time trying to maintain flexibility to choose meteorological input, model resolution and other practical considerations. For atmospheric tracers with a lifetime longer than several months, an accurate simulation of interhemispheric transport rate appears to be important for global scale analysis, because it affects critically the results for regional or hemispheric breakdown of unknown fluxes, such as the terrestrial CO₂ sink. Another important feature is a vertical profile of the tracer concentration over emitting regions, influenced by a rate of PBL mixing with free troposphere. Global tracer transport model intercomparison studies (e.g., Jacob et al., 1997; Law et al., 1996; Denning et al., 1999) demonstrated that the sizable difference in vertical mixing rates exists between models, and discrepancies between models and observations appear to be significant for the well established climate and transport models.

2. Model description

In this section, we describe our model design and numerical representation of the model processes, including the model equations, physical processes parameterizations and their numerical realizations. The development of NIES/FRCGC model reported here is to simulate the seasonal cycles of the long-lived tracer species at a relatively coarse grid resolution (2.5 to 5 degrees longitude-latitude), and to perform sources/sinks inversion of atmospheric-CO₂. The transport model has been improved by increasing spatial resolution and driven by diurnal cycle resolving meteorology for simulating diurnal-synoptic scale variations (version: NIES05). Present model version evolved since early 1990s (Akimoto et al., 1993; Maksyutov, 1994; Maksyutov and Inoue, 2000; Maksyutov et al. 2000). Several model algorithms and parameterizations tested in this process and were replaced or refined in order to produce more realistic simulation of the various atmospheric tracers.

2.1 Model equations

We use the terrain following σ vertical coordinate (Philips, 1957), which is defined by expression: \( \sigma = p / p_r \), where \( p \) and \( p_r \) are atmospheric and surface pressures, respectively. Atmospheric constituent transport equation can be presented in the Lagrangian-style form (Williamson and Laprise, 2000):

\[
\frac{dq^k}{dt} = \frac{\partial q^k}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla_q q^k + \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} \cdot \frac{\partial q^k}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} F^k + S^k
\]

\[
\nabla_q = -\frac{\partial}{R \cos(\phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}
\]

Here \( q^k \) is the mixing ratio (volume) in dry air for tracer \( k \), and \( F^k \) is the vertical flux of that tracer due to turbulent diffusion and moist convective transport. \( S^k \) is the mixing ratio tendency due to surface fluxes and chemical transformations, \( \lambda \) and \( \phi \) are the longitude and latitude in radians, \( R \) is the radius of the Earth, and \( V \) the horizontal wind velocity vector with longitudinal and latitudinal components \((u, v)\). \( \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} \) is vertical wind velocity in \( \sigma \)-coordinate system (\( \sigma = +ve \) indicates downward motion). Eqn. (1) is solved using single time-level, time splitting scheme, with separate consecutive steps for surface emissions and transformations, semi-Lagrangian transport, vertical mixing by penetrative convection (all explicit), and vertical diffusion by turbulence (implicit). The winds \((u, v)\) are interpolated from the global analysis winds. Vertical subgrid scale fluxes \( F^k \) are obtained using parameterizations of the penetrative cumulus convection and PBL climatology. The vertical wind in sigma coordinates \( \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} \) is derived diagnostically from the global analysis winds. It is assumed that vertical velocity in global analysis \( \omega \) represents a mass flow through constant pressure surface, and is prepared using the equation (see Washington and Parkinson, 1986):

\[
\omega = p_s \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} + \sigma \left( \frac{\partial p_s}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla_q p_s \right)
\]

which includes effects of air motion with respect to constant sigma surfaces (as pressure and sigma planes are not parallel) and surface pressure tendency. The \( \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} \) is calculated from Eqn. 2 according to

\[
p_s \cdot \mathbf{\dot{\sigma}} = \omega - \sigma \left( \frac{\partial p_s}{\partial t} + V \cdot \nabla_q p_s \right).
\]

2.2 Representation of the physical processes: cumulus convection

The vertical redistribution of tracers by cumulus convection is based on cumulus mass-fluxes calculated in a Kuo-type scheme following Grell (1995), and modified to include entrainment and detrainment processes on convective updrafts and downdrafts proposed by Tiedtke (1989). In this formulation the cloud base level \( \sigma_b \) is
obtained by adding small perturbation to humidity and temperature at levels below 700 hPa and adiabatically lifting the air parcel until the condensation occurs. For cloud base $\sigma_c$ we use the lowest level where condensation would occur, known as lifting condensation level. The supply rate of moisture available for penetrative convection is then estimated. The horizontal moisture divergence is evaluated from analysis winds and water vapor content (threshold at the cloud base is set to 0.0002 kg/kg). Low-level moisture convergence $M_1$ is obtained by integrating the horizontal moisture convergence below cloud base level:

$$M_1 = \int^{\sigma_c} \frac{1}{\sigma_c} \nabla_{\sigma} (p_S \cdot V \cdot q) \, d\sigma - M_c + S_{\text{evap}}. \quad (3)$$

$S_{\text{evap}}$ is the surface evaporation. For evaporation climatology, we use monthly surface evaporation fields by NASA GEOS-1 reanalysis for 1992-1993 (Schubert et al., 1993). Use of the monthly evaporation rate for estimating the moisture divergence has been tested by Heimann (1995). To account for deviation from the mass conservation in the wind data the moisture divergence term is corrected for non-zero divergence of the air mass $M_c$:

$$M_c = \int q \cdot \nabla_{\sigma} (p_S \cdot V) \, d\sigma.$$

The mass flux $M_u$ in updraft is set to $M_1$ divided by water vapor mixing ratio at cloud base $q_{\text{base}}$, so that $M_1 = M_u \cdot q_{\text{base}}$. The vertical profiles of entrainment and detrainment rates are set proportional to $M_u$ in accordance with Tiedtke (1989). The cloud top is determined by comparing the virtual potential temperatures in the updraft and environment, for which an overshoot of 3 degrees K is allowed. The clouds thinner than $3\sigma = 0.1$ are excluded. The downdraft mass flux is set to 0.2 of that in the updraft. The vertical distribution of zonal average cumulus mass flux on updrafts is presented on Fig. 1, which clearly shows the location of the tropical convective cell moving along with the seasonal changes in solar insolation, from southern hemisphere in January to northern hemisphere in July. Also the overall seasonal features in mid-latitude convective zones are well captured.

The tracers are transported vertically by applying a simplified explicit scheme. It is assumed that the updrafts and downdrafts make only a negligibly small part of a grid column; the rest is designated as environment air. First the vertical profiles of the concentrations in the updraft and downdraft air are computed taking into account rates of mixing with environment air by entrainment and detrainment, than the concentration tendencies in environment air are obtained from entrainment/detrainment rates.

### 2.3 Representation of the physical processes: turbulent diffusion

We used climatological planetary boundary layer (PBL) height to separate transport processes in the well-mixed PBL and free troposphere. The monthly averages of daily maximum PBL thickness data are prepared from 3-hourly PBL height data at GEOS-1 reanalysis dataset for 1992–1993 (Schubert et al., 1993). Daily maximum height is selected to representative time of trace gas observations. The summer-time PBL height over mid-lat-
itude continental areas varies around 150 hPa, approximately 1.5 km. The optimal procedure to derive the monthly PBL climatology can be debated. The other problem is lack of day to day variability. To overcome this problem and more realistic simulation of diurnal cycle, newer version of the model (version: NIES05) uses diurnally varying PBL heights at 3 hourly interval from ECMWF analyzed and forecasts products.

Below PBL top, the turbulent diffusivity is set to a constant value of 40 m² s⁻¹. The large scale transport such as zonally-averaged vertical profiles of constituents does not change appreciably by decreasing the diffusivity to 20 m² s⁻¹. The selection of the turbulent diffusivity value inside the PBL seems to be not critical as far as vertical profiles in well-mixed daytime conditions are concerned (in the older version). Above the PBL top the turbulent diffusivity $K_T$ is calculated using local stability function, as in Hack et al. (1993):

$$K_T = l^2 \cdot S \cdot F_S(R_i).$$

Here $l$ is the mixing length, $l = 30$ m. $S = \frac{2V}{\Delta z}$ is the vertical wind shear, $R_i$ is local Richardson number, defined by temperature and wind gradients as:

$$R_i = g \frac{\partial \ln \theta_v}{\partial z}.$$

$\theta_v$ is the virtual potential temperature, $g$ is the acceleration of gravity. Stability dependent function $F_S(R_i)$ is defined as:

$$F_S(R_i) = (1 - 18 \cdot R_i \frac{1}{2}) \text{ for unstable conditions } (R_i < 0)$$

and

$$F_S(R_i) = 1 - \frac{R_i}{R_{ic}} \text{ for stable conditions } (0 < R_i < R_{ic}),$$

where $R_{ic} = 0.2$ is a critical Richardson number, above which $F_S(R_i) = 0$.

### 2.4 Semi-Lagrangian transport and trajectory calculation

Semi-Lagrangian transport algorithm is an effective way to solve the tracer transport problems in a polar coordinate system (Williamson and Rasch, 1989), as opposed to the regular-grid schemes formulated in flux form, that have a singularity near the poles caused by small grid size in longitudinal direction. In the semi-Lagrangian approach the tracer concentration change due to transport from initial state (time $t_0$) to new value at next time step $t_0 + \Delta t$ is evaluated in 2 steps:

**Step 1 (Trajectory calculation):** For the each grid point location a three-dimensional trajectory is calculated for an air parcel, which arrives to that grid point at time $t_0 + \Delta t$. The trajectory location at time $t_0$ is designated as departure point, and the trajectory itself is called a back trajectory, because it is calculated backward in time.

**Step 2 (Interpolation):** A concentration at departure point at time $t_0$ is obtained using interpolation from nearby grid point values. In the absence of mixing and transformation processes, concentration at arrival point should be exactly same as that at the departure point, thus the tracer concentrations at new time step $t_0 + \Delta t$ are set to those at corresponding departure points.

The trajectories are calculated using explicit integration of the air parcel motion in the Cartesian coordinate system originated in the Earth center. The coordinate transformation from polar to Cartesian coordinate system and back is used on the each time step. Calculation of the departure point on each time step is done in 3 sub-steps:

a) Interpolate wind and pressure to the current air parcel position in polar coordinates, using bilinear approximations.

b) Convert the winds and air parcel coordinates to Cartesian coordinate system centered at the Earth center, and calculate displacement tangent to Earth surface.

c) Convert new position back to polar coordinate system and finding sigma level change by integrating vertical motion.

We provide here a short description of the trajectory calculation equations (as referred in Step 1 above). The horizontal (parallel to Earth surface) air parcel movement is determined in the earth-centered coordinate system. The earth centered system has x-axis passing the point on the Earth surface at 0°E, 0°N; y-axis passes via 90°E, 0°N, z-axis passing via 90°N. Horizontal motion in polar coordinates $(\lambda, \phi)$ can be represented as

$$R \cdot \cos(\phi) \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = u, \quad R \frac{d\phi}{dt} = v.$$

The air parcel displacement in the earth-centered system is given by

$$dx = -\delta \phi \cdot \sin(\phi) \cdot \cos(\lambda) - \sin(\phi) \cdot \delta \lambda,$$

$$dy = -\delta \phi \cdot \sin(\phi) \cdot \cos(\lambda) - \sin(\phi) \cdot \delta \lambda,$$

$$dz = -\delta \phi \cdot \cos(\phi)$$

where $dx, dy, dz$ are the air parcel displacements in $x, y, z$ directions in the earth-centered coordinate system. $\delta \lambda, \delta \phi$ are displacements in longitude, latitude directions and calculated as:

$$\delta \lambda = u \Delta t = u(R \cos(\phi)) \delta t, \quad \delta \phi = v \Delta t = (v/R) \delta t.$$

Here $\Delta t$ is the time step (negative for back-trajectory). A new position of the air parcel is given by
\[ x = R \cdot \cos (\phi) \cdot \cos (\lambda) + dx \]
\[ y = R \cdot \cos (\phi) \cdot \sin (\lambda) + dy \]
\[ z = R \cdot \sin (\phi) + dz \]

\( x, y, z \) are longitude, latitude height position of the air parcel, \( R \) is radius of the Earth. After the position in the earth-centered coordinate system is determined, the position in the polar coordinate system is given by:
\[ \phi = \arctan \left( \frac{2}{x^2 + y^2} \right) \]
\[ \lambda = \arctan \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \]

This trajectory calculation module has been extensively used for analysis of the relationship between the atmospheric transport and observed time series of the long-lived tracers such as nitrous oxide (Tohjima et al., 2000), methane (Tohjima et al., 2002) and ozone (Pochanart et al., 2001). The studies where performed with the same wind and trajectory calculation algorithm as in present 3-D transport model, and a good correlation was observed between the variations of the atmospheric composition and trajectory pathways over a time periods extending from 1994 to 1999. Those results give us some degree of confidence in the model’s horizontal transport performance.

2.5 Model grid

The model’s horizontal and vertical resolutions match those of the meteorological dataset when possible. We use pressure level ECMWF operational analyses at 12-hour time step and 2.5 degrees horizontal resolution in model validation experiments, and NCEP reanalysis data at same resolution for multiyear inverse model simulations (ECMWF, 1999; Courtier et al., 1998). Same horizontal resolution is used in the model; however, the grid layout is different from the meteorological dataset. The first model grid cell on a horizontal plane is located near South Pole, and is confined between (0˚E, 90˚S) and (2.5˚E, 87.5˚S), the last one, at North Pole, is confined between (357.5˚E, 87.5˚N) and (0˚E, 90˚N). Vertical grid layout was designed to provide enough layers to match the resolution of the wind dataset (ECMWF operational analyses), and the variability in the boundary layer height. The validation tests were performed with 15 layer vertical grids, which have slab centers at \( \sigma_k = \{ .97 , .93 , .89 , .85 , .775 , .7 , .6 , .5 , .4 , .3 , .25 , .2 , .15 , .1 , 0.03 \} \). The slab interfaces are at mid levels \( \sigma_k^{1/2} = (\sigma_k + \sigma_{k+1})/2 \). Model grid is staggered in vertical dimension. Turbulent diffusivities, convective mass fluxes are assigned to slab interfaces \( \sigma_k^{1/2} \), while the winds, temperature, humidity, constituents are assigned to slab centers \( \sigma_k \). The winds are interpolated from the meteorological analysis grid to the model grid using bilinear interpolation in longitude and latitude in log-pressure coordinate.

The NIES05 model version uses meteorological data by NCEP operational analyses at 26 levels and 1x1 degree resolution at 6 hourly interval and the model simulations are performed at varied horizontal resolution; e.g., 0.5x0.5, 1x1, and 2x2 degrees. This version has 47 vertical layers.

2.6 Mass fixer description

The total tracer mass tendency by the semi-Lagrangian transport algorithm usually deviates from zero, which is often negligible in short term but can disturb the global trends and tracer budgets in long-term simulations. A variety of mass fixers are applied in transport models in order to keep total tracer mass unchanged during transport (Hack et al., 1993; Rasch et al., 1995). We distribute the required correction proportionally to local advection tendencies as described in Taguchi (1996). The mass fixer is designed to conserve as total tracer mass, which is calculated as an integral (with constant factor omitted):

\[ M_q = \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{2} \left( p_s \cdot (1 - 0.61 \cdot q_w) \cdot q \cdot d\lambda \cdot d(\sin \phi) \cdot d\sigma \right) \tag{4} \]

Here \( q_w \) is the water vapour mixing ratio, so \((1-0.61 q_w)\) is the dry air mass fraction. Mass fixer is designed to conserve \( M_q \) by balancing the positive and negative tendencies. The constraint for tracer tendencies on each time step is derived from mass balance equation Eqn. 4 as follows

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} M_q = \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{2} \left( p_s \cdot (1 - 0.61 \cdot q_w) \cdot \bar{\dot{q}} \right. \\
+ q \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( p_s \cdot (1 - 0.61 \cdot q_w) \right) \right) \cdot d\lambda \cdot d(\sin \phi) \cdot d\sigma \\
= 0. \]

Here \( \bar{\dot{q}} \) is the corrected tendency for each tracer. We apply two different factors \( a_p, a_n \) as multipliers for positive and negative tendencies so that

\[ \dot{q} = \left[ q_p \cdot \theta (\dot{q}) - a_n \cdot \theta (- \dot{q}) \right] \]

where \( \dot{q} \) is tracer tendency from semi-Lagrangian transport step, \( \theta (\dot{q}) \) is the step function (\( \theta(x) = 1 \) for \( x > 0 \), and \( \theta(x) = 0 \) for \( x < 0 \). The condition max \( (a_p, a_n) \) is enforced to keep the solution monotonic.

Recent tests conducted for TransCom 3 intercomparison experiment (Gurney et al., 2002) revealed that the mass fixer we use does have a detectable non-local (“teleconnection”) effect. It is caused by values of \( a_p \) and \( a_n \) being slightly different for each particular tracer. The values of \( a_p \) and \( a_n \) influence the rate of interhemispheric
transport, and the difference is generally larger than that for other mass fixers (R. Law, personal communication, 2001), such as flat concentration adjustment (Hack et al., 1993).

2.7 Treatment of the surface emission-sink fields and chemical transformations

The model is designed to handle constant surface emission fields and seasonally changing emissions in the form of 12 monthly average fields per year. The NIES05 version can ingest fluxes at higher frequency, at up to hourly time interval. The monthly average emissions are interpolated linearly to daily values, on the 15th of each month the emission rate is equal to the monthly average for that month as provided by emission inventory files. The emission inventory fields have higher resolution (e.g., 1×1 degree), than the model grid (e.g., 2.5×2.5 degrees), so the input dataset is mapped to a model grid by counting the overlap area of each input data cell to all model grid data cells. That assures that the global total emission flux is conserved during interpolation.

3. Validation of NIES/FRCGC global transport model

An effective way to validate the atmospheric transport models is by simulating the non-reacting or slowly reacting atmospheric tracer species with well-known emissions and transformations. The tracers of choice are both short lived like radon-222 for diagnosing local/regional transport (Jacob et al., 1997), and long-lived species for evaluating large scale/interhemispheric transport such as SF6 (Denning et al., 1996; Levin and Hesshaimer, 1996; Maiss et al., 1996), 85Kr (Jacob et al., 1987; Heimann and Keeling, 1989; Zimmermann et al., 1989), chlorofluorocarbons (Prather et al., 1987; Mahowald et al., 1997). General requirements to the suitable tracer species can be summarized as follows: a) availability of reliable emission inventory; b) stable emissions with little seasonal and diurnal variations; c) availability of the observations at required temporal and spatial scales. Most of naturally emitted gaseous species like carbon dioxide, methane, and carbon monoxide can not be used for the model validation because of the large and poorly known spatial-temporal variability of their sources and sinks. Among the most widely used are the gases of the anthropogenic origin – SF6 and chlorofluorocarbons, because their emission rates are constrained by both the industrial statistics and the trends in global atmospheric content and in addition have no known chemical loss in the troposphere. On the other hand radon-222 (atmospheric residence time of 3.8 days) is emitted only naturally, but its emission rate is related to stable factors, such as type of soil and rocks, rather than the changing vegetation or weather conditions.

We evaluate the model’s overall performance using results from several extensively tested global tracer transport models and comparing our model simulations with observations. Those model simulations include the WCRP model intercomparison experiment for radon (Jacob et al., 1997), TransCom experiment (Law et al., 1996) for CO2 transport intercomparison, and SF6 transport intercomparison experiment TransCom 2 (Denning et al., 1996). These modeling setups were developed by large transport modeling community, and provide concise and tested set of atmospheric observations and surface emission fields. This gives us an opportunity to concentrate on limited number of key and integral simulated tracer field parameters. The limited set of tests may not actually substitute running the comprehensive validation using large variety of observations (e.g., Dentener et al., 1999), but can still give valuable information on the model performance in terms of large scale averages.

3.1 Evaluation of vertical transport using simulation of radon

Radon-222 is a product of radium-226 decay and has a lifetime of 3.8 days in the atmosphere. The surface radon emission rate varies considerably from 0.5 to 2 atoms cm⁻² s⁻¹; the emission is suppressed by poorly conducting wet soils and snow cover. Yet there is no better choice of relatively short-lived and widely observed tracer for validating a transport model for continental and remote oceanic atmosphere, and between boundary layer and free troposphere. For validating the model performance using transport of radon-222, we follow the World Climate Research Program (WCRP) inter-comparison experiment specification (Jacob et al., 1997). Radon-222 surface fluxes were set to 0.005 atoms cm⁻² s⁻¹ for oceans and 1 atom cm⁻² s⁻¹ over land between 60°S to 60°N, and to 0.005 atoms cm⁻² s⁻¹ for land between 60°N to 70°N.

The observed and simulated radon concentrations for different heights at Crozet, Hawaii and Cincinnati are compared (not shown) by using the data at Cincinnati (Gold et al., 1964), Crozet (Lambert et al., 1995), and Hawaii (Kritz et al., 1990). The model appears to under-predict surface concentrations at Cincinnati and upper atmospheric observations over Hawaii (200 hPa level), and slightly over-predict the observations at Crozet island. Worth mentioning, however, that several models that participated in Jacob et al. (1997) inter-comparison also failed to capture observed variability in the upper troposphere and reproduce the high radon observed values at 200 hPa over Hawaii. Crozet Island is located south of African coast; the data indicate low background concentrations of below 10⁻²¹ mol mol⁻¹, with infrequent
high radon episodes lasting few days. In case of Crozet, Jacob et al. (1997) found that the low background concentrations are over-predicted; but the amplitude of the high radon episodes is captured more successfully by the “established” transport models, which is believed to be an indication of models’ ability to transport radon to remote atmosphere without significant amplitude loss due to diffusion. The summer maximum at Crozet in our model is within the range of the observed variability.

Figure 2 shows the comparison for winter and summer averages together with other model results as presented by Jacob et al. (1997). The comparison to the observations concludes that our model performs similar to the established three-dimensional transport models (see Fig. 2 caption for details), and the model simulated vertical profiles are close to observed ones. The model results are within the range of observations (widened by observed variability) for all but summer mixed layer value. Even in later case it is close to lower bound of the observation ranges. This may indicate that the summertime mixing (ventilation of the boundary layer) is stronger than that occur in the real world. Same conclusion is also applicable to some other transport models as well (see Fig. 2). However this low value is not conclusive evidence in the view of the fact that the local emission rate uncertainty could be as high as 50%.

3.2 Evaluation of interhemispheric transport using long-lived gases

The validation of the inter-hemispheric and vertical transport became more reliable with the increasing availability of the observational data on a stable tracer, SF$_6$.
which can be analyzed accurately in the laboratory after sampling to flasks, has a long atmospheric lifetime of more than 3000 years (Ravishankara et al., 1993), has steady emissions, verifiable via global atmospheric abundance observations (Levin and Hesshaimer, 1996; Geller et al., 1997). We follow the TransCom 2 protocol (Denning et al., 1999) in applying the global emission scenario for SF$_6$, and present the 5-year simulation that starts from globally homogeneous concentration of 2 pptv (parts per trillion volume) at the beginning of 1989. In Denning et al. (1999) intercomparison the initial value was set to 2.06 pptv, and that resulted some overestimation of the global 1993 average by all models. They had to scale down the concentration increase by a factor of 0.936, which may be interpreted as same amount of decrease in emission rate considering linearity in SF$_6$ transport.

The annual average of simulated SF$_6$ concentration for 1993 is compared to the observations compiled by Denning et al. (1999) and plotted in Fig. 3. The common problems that can be observed with both our model and models reported by Denning et al. (1999), are large mismatch for Barbados (13°N, 59°W), and large variability in mismatch for continental and background Northern hemispheric background locations. The pole-to-pole difference (South Pole to Alert) is captured fairly well by our model. Similar simulations of fossil fuel component of CO$_2$ flux are also conducted. We estimate an interhemispheric exchange time of about 1.5 year and 0.9 year by considering the hemispheric means of surface concentrations and values integrated for the whole hemisphere, respectively.

According to the data presented, the model in a given configuration tends to under-predict inter-hemispheric gradient in background locations by 10% of interhemispheric difference (taken as 0.4 pptv), but at the continental sites the difference can be both positive and negative. The average mismatch is comparable to that by other established transport models in TransCom 2 intercomparison, but indicates that there are scopes for further improvements in forward modeling as well as the spatial distribution of SF$_6$ emission. The wish list for those improvements would include: a) increasing the interhemispheric gradient in background atmosphere by extra 10% to improve the match between model and observations at background locations, and b) at the same time keep the match at continental locations within present level. The later action would require enhancing the vertical mixing in lower troposphere potentially leading to widening the mismatch with radon-222 data as in Fig. 2. Some these improvements are introduced in the NIES05 version of the model and some results have been discussed later.

### 3.3 CO$_2$ transport simulation with TransCom 1 experimental protocol

Another test of the model performance is given by the TransCom 1 intercomparison study, which provides the handy set of parameters for evaluating the both horizontal (interhemispheric) and vertical tracer transport by comparison with the established models. Here we report the simulation results for “fossil fuel CO$_2$” tracer, as specified by Law et al. (1996). The fossil fuel CO$_2$ source field derived by Inez Fung is same as in Tans et al. (1990), and is based on CO$_2$ emission inventory by Marland (1989). The results are presented as averages for North and South Hemispheres for surface level, 500 hPa, and 200 hPa levels. The interhemispheric concentration gradient defined as difference in hemispheric averages simulated by our model and models reported by Law et al. (1996) are summarized in Fig. 4. According to previous studies with short/long-lived tracers, such as Jacob et al. (1987), Denning et al. (1999), the extensively validated models such as GISS and TM2 are capable of reproducing the interhemispheric gradient of the long-lived tracers with the accuracy of about 10% or better. We use the same yard-stick for evaluating the performance of our model. NIES/FRCGC model is not considerably different from TM2 in terms of the North-South difference at surface. Along with several other global tracer transport models it predicts about 3-ppm difference at surface, however there is a difference in vertical profile of interhemispheric gradient. At the level of 500 hPa, the relative difference between models becomes larger with TM2 and GISS showing larger interhemispheric difference as compared to others. At 500 hPa our model also produces larger gradient than other models, and difference from TM2 is
minor. That is not the case at 200 hPa level. As one can see on Fig. 4, the North-South difference at 200 hPa is as large as 1.5 ppm for GISS and TM2, and about 1 ppm or less for our and other models. Main reason is a stronger vertical transport in GISS and TM2 models, which also makes smaller the model simulated difference between continental boundary layer and background oceanic air, as discussed in Law et al. (1996) and Denning et al. (1999).

4. Introduction to High resolution model version (NIES05)

While the NIES/FRCGC model successfully captures overall features in tracer transport and being used for CO$_2$ sources/sinks estimation by inverse modeling of atmospheric-CO$_2$ (section 5), there are increasing demands for simulating tracer distributions at sub-daily time and local scales. Presently about 30 stations are observing atmospheric CO$_2$ using in situ deployed instruments and provide hourly average values for scientific research (WDCGG, 2007). To understand the observed variability, the transport model simulations are required to be performed at increased spatial resolution and driven by diurnally varying meteorology. We have run our transport model (version NIES05) as high horizontal resolution as 0.25°×0.25 degree longitude-latitude, in order to investigate the impact of grid resolution on global CO$_2$ transportation. Here, we present our simulation results at 4 horizontal resolutions; 2°×2, 1°×1, 0.5°×0.5 and 0.25°×0.25 degrees. The vertical resolution is enhanced to 47 levels for better resolving the mixing processes in the boundary layer. For resolving the diurnal variations in surface concentrations, the NIES05 model version is driven by 3-hourly PBL height data from ECMWF analyzed and forecast products (http://www.ecmwf.int; path:/products/data/operational_system). The other 2-D and 3-D meteorological parameters, e.g., sea-level pressure, winds, temperature, are taken from NCEP final analysis (http://dss.ucar.edu/path:/datasets/ds083.2/). Computational demand increased many-fold to run the model at 0.5°×0.5 degree horizontal resolution and 47 vertical level; e.g., time and memory size required for one month simulation of 3 tracers are 1.4 hours in real time and 4.4 GB, respectively on 6 CPUs of Earth Simulator (NEC SX-6 series processor).

Three surface CO$_2$ fluxes are used; SiB3 hourly varying terrestrial ecosystem flux (Denning et al., 1996), seasonally varying ocean flux (Takahashi et al., 2002) and anthropogenic fossil fuel emissions (Marland, 1989) following the TransCom continuous experiment protocol (Law et al., 2006). Higher resolution anthropogenic CO$_2$ emission distributions are generated from 1×1 degree emission inventory, by redistributing the fluxes spatially following the 2.5min global population map data (CIESIN, 2000), and combined with lower resolution ecosystem and oceanic flux data. This redistribution procedure for fossil fuel emission is an approximation and should ideally be placed according to the source locations. The summation of those fluxes is considered as the total CO$_2$ surface flux. August 2002 is selected as the target period of our test simulation. The preceding simulation (spin-up run) is performed at 2×2 degree horizontal resolution for the period of 1st January to 31st July, 2002 in order to obtain realistic spatial CO$_2$ gradients. Figure 5 shows the snapshots of surface CO$_2$ distributions over East Asia at the resolutions of (a) 2×2 and (b) 0.25°×0.25 degree. Though the area-averages concentrations are sim-
ilar in both cases, the distribution patterns are quite different from each other. For example, Figure 5b shows much clearer city plumes than Fig. 5a. It also shows clearer vortex shape due to a typhoon near the Kyusyu area (130°E, 30°N).

Figure 6 shows a comparison of atmospheric CO₂ data at Tsukuba 200m tall tower with NIES05 model results at different horizontal resolutions. Tsukuba is located close to a large anthropogenic CO₂ emission region around Tokyo (distance ~ 50 km). The observation data are provided by Y. Sawa and H. Matsueda of Meteorological Research Institute (MRI), Tsukuba (also available at WDCGG website). Each simulation result has its offset value, which is determined from the average value in the August. The higher-resolution simulations have stronger diurnal changes, which are more consistent with observed diurnal cycle. Furthermore, they produce better predictions, particularly during the 3rd to 7th August period when winds from Tokyo dominate. Model simulations at horizontal resolution of 1°x1 degree or coarser do not resolve the separation between Tokyo and Tsukuba emissions and transport as Tokyo and Tsukuba reside within the same grid cell and sampling grid do not accurately represents Tsukuba (see Fig. 6 caption). The nearest north-eastern model grid is selected for sampling, and the distance between model grids and Tsukuba are estimated to be about 128, 58, 23 and 8 km for 2°x2’, 1°x1’, 0.5°x0.5’ and 0.25°x0.25’ horizontal resolutions, respectively. Thus the highest resolution run enables us to capture the CO₂ variabilities more realistically compared to the coarse resolution runs.

The simulations of CO₂, radon-222 and SF₆ at hourly, daily and synoptic time scales are being evaluated under the TransCom continuous intercomparison project (Law et al., 2006). Though the first forward simulation results are encouraging this model version will not be used in surface CO₂ sources/sinks inversion for resolving flux variabilities at high spatial and temporal resolutions, until a rigorous evaluation of model simulations of the above mentioned species is completed.

5. Inverse modeling of CO₂ sources and sinks

Using the NIES/FRCGC model version (2.5°x2.5 degrees horizontal resolution and 17 vertical layers) we have employed a 64-region time-dependent inverse model (TDIM) for deriving CO₂ fluxes at monthly time interval from atmospheric-CO₂ data at 87 stations. Our 64-region TDIM is based on that has been used in Rayner et al. (1999) and partially follows the TransCom3 protocol (Gurney et al., 2000). The results have been widely reported by analyzing interannual variability in fluxes (Patra et al., 2005a,b), and for understanding the anomalous CO₂ growth rate at Mauna Loa during 2001–2003 (Patra et al., 2005c). Fluxes for oceanic regions have been validated in comparison with independent oceanic-pCO₂ inversion and explored for mechanistic understanding of the flux variabilities using biogeochemical models of land and ocean (Patra et al., 2006b, 2007). Here we will present some recent developments that support the derived flux variabilities and trends by our TDIM setup. In addition, NIES/FRCGC model has been utilized exclusively for optimization of futuristic surface observation networks and to study utility of satellite measurements in surface sources/sinks estimation. Most of these studies
are conducted using synthetic data experiments in time-independent inversion mode for 22, 42 or 432 region divisions of the globe (Maksyutov et al., 2003a; 2003b; Patra et al., 2002; 2003a; 2003b). Multi-model intercomparisons of time-independent and time-dependent CO₂ flux inversions are done under the TransCom3 project (Gurney et al., 2002, 2003, 2004; Law et al., 2003, Baker et al., 2006; Patra et al., 2006a). The inverted fluxes corresponding to NIES/FRCGC model are found to be similar to most other 12 or 16 participating models.

Figure 7 shows the TDIM estimated fluxes for the period 1979–2005 using NIES/FRCGC forward model simulations driven by interannually varying winds (TDI/64-IAV), and cyclostationary winds and CO₂ measurements at 67 stations (TDI/64-CYC/67) and 19 stations (TDI/64-CYC/19). Use of cyclostationary winds and smaller CO₂ data network significantly reduces the interannual variability in TDIM derived CO₂ fluxes for both the land and ocean regions (see Patra et al., 2006b for a discussion). However, the trends in fluxes are fairly independent of the forward model transport. Total land and ocean sinks appear to be increasing over the 1980–2005 periods (Fig. 7a, b) though the interannual variability has large influence on the trends derived, i.e., selection of period for trends estimation has measureable impact on the value itself. Similar is the situation for regional flux trends.

Recently, trends in CO₂ exchange over the Southern Ocean (SO) have drawn considerable attention (see LeQuere et al., 2007 and references therein). Their analysis also use results from an atmospheric-CO₂ inversion that employs independent technique and derives fluxes at forward model grid resolution (Rodenbeck, 2005). Our model results also suggest a decrease in SO CO₂ sink in the past 2.5 decades but the magnitude of net decrease can be debated and vary between 0.04-0.1 Pg-C/decade depending on period of the fits, and appears to follow the trends in AAO (Fig. 7c). More detailed look in to the fluxes corresponding to northern (40–60˚S) and southern (60–80˚S) parts of SO suggests that the former region become a weaker sink of CO₂ (Fig. 7d), where the biological uptake is prominent. In contrast, the net release from southern part indicates a decrease over our analysis period where the sea-air CO₂ exchange is believed to be controlled by coastal upwelling.

Greater flux anomalies estimated using 64-region inverse model and observations at 87-stations have generated curiosity in the scientific community (e.g., McKinley et al., 2006). The flux variability for total land and ocean show good correspondence with ENSO cycle and vary in opposite phase with each other (Fig. 7a, b). The amplitude and phase correspondence weakens for total ocean flux variability if atmospheric-CO₂ data at smaller num-

**Fig. 6** Results of NIES05 at four kinds of resolutions at Tsukuba (36.05˚N, 140.13˚E) 200m high tower, which is in close proximity of megacity Tokyo (35.66˚N, 139.75˚E), and is occasionally under the influence of strong anthropogenic sources (an episode of up to about 70 ppm on 5th afternoon). An offset of 373 ppm is added to the model values for comparison with observations. The model sampling grids are located at (37˚N, 141˚E), (36.5˚N, 140.5˚E), (36.25˚N, 140.25˚E) and (36.13˚N, 140.13˚E) in 2×2˚, 1×1˚, 0.5×0.5˚ and 0.25×0.25˚ model resolutions, respectively.
ber of sites is used in TDIM calculation, and amplitude of total land flux variability reduces although the phase remains fairly similar. Comparison of TDI/64-IAV derived flux anomalies with the estimates based on observations have been done for some of the ocean regions (Patra et al., 2005a). Both approaches result in similar magnitudes in flux anomalies for Equatorial Pacific, North Atlantic and North Pacific. Using an ocean biogeochemical elemental cycling model, sensitivity studies indicate flux anomalies to changes in nutrient supply through dust deposition from the atmosphere can partly explain the TDI/64-IAV derived CO₂ flux anomalies (see Patra et al., 2007 for details). The full range of IAVs for the ocean regions are encompassed by the sensitivity runs selected in that analysis when the dust-iron input is varied by ten times or one-tenth.

For the land regions, analysis using a simulation setup of Biome-BGC terrestrial ecosystem model underestimat-

ed the TDI estimated flux variability (Patra et al., 2005b), and they attributed the mismatch between the two to the lack biomass burning processes in Biome-BGC model. Reliable estimates of monthly-mean CO₂ fluxes due to fires (referred to as fire CO₂ flux) for several years have now been produced based on satellite derived burned area estimates, CASA terrestrial ecosystem model based fuel load inventories and known emission factors (van der Werf et al., 2006; version GFED2). Figure 8 shows a comparison of Biome-BGC net ecosystem exchange (NEE) and fire CO₂ flux (bottom-up estimate) with that estimated by TDI/64-IAV (top-down). For most of the years, the bottom-up and top-down estimates agree very well (difference within 10%), with the exception of Boreal Asia region for the period 1999–2000 only. This comparison further enhances our confidence in the derived flux IAV in the TDI/64-IAV inversion.
6. Conclusions

Our “off-line” global atmospheric tracer transport model features a blend of established and newer approaches to representing the physical processes in the atmosphere important for atmospheric tracer transport. The semi-Lagrangian transport algorithm is combined with climatological PBL scheme and penetrative cloud convection parameterization to give a model capable of simulating the variations of the atmospheric tracers at a monthly and longer time scales. The chosen combination of the parameterizations proved to be effective in reproducing observed vertical and horizontal distributions of the passive atmospheric constituents with accuracy similar to those of the established atmospheric transport models. Test problems suite included transport of radon-222 as in WCRP transport model intercomparison, sulfur hexafluoride (SF6) following TransCom 2 model intercomparison, and CO2 as in TransCom 1 intercomparison experiments. Vertical profiles of radon-222 are simulated successfully except for some possible underestimation of the surface concentrations in summer. The simulations of the long-lived tracers SF6 and CO2 demonstrated satisfactory performance in the interhemispheric transport. Strength of interhemispheric gradient in tracer transport is important for realistic estimation of sources/sinks estimation using inverse modeling of atmospheric CO2 and satisfactory results are obtained for annual and monthly mean flux inversions. We have reviewed the CO2 sources/sinks inversion results using a 64-region time-dependent inverse model using atmospheric CO2 data and NIES/FRCGC transport model driven by inter-annually varying meteorology. Some recent developments in capturing the interannual variations in CO2 fluxes and short-term trends are discussed. Our model results are supported by other independent estimates. Further tests and improvements in the forward transport model design are achieved for better simulation of day-to-day and diurnal variability in the tracer concentrations. The newer model version (NIES05) uses diurnally varying PBL (3-hour interval), and 6-hourly pressure level meteorological parameters (U, V, T etc.). This model is run at one of the finest horizontal resolution (0.25°×0.25°) globally and 47 layers. The finest resolution simulation shows remarkable improvements for matching the observations from a tower near to the megacity Tokyo.
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